IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION

3:10cr249

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
v.	ORDER
DENNIS JUSTIN MOORE, JR. (1))))
THIS MATTER comes before the	e Court upon the defendant's Motion for Bond Review
pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). (Doc. No	o. 38).
The defendant seeks reconsiderati	on of his detention because he has pled guilty to a
counterfeiting charge and has been held in	n custody the length of the United States Sentencing
Guidelines range contemplated by the ple	a agreement. (Id. at 1-2). The Court does not find that
this information alters the magistrate judg	ge's determination, by preponderance of the evidence,
that no combination of conditions would	reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant.
(Doc. No. 5: Order). Additionally, the ad	visory guideline range suggested by the parties does
not control the Court's determination of t	he sufficient, but not greater than necessary, sentence.
Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B). However, i	n order to protect the defendant against the risk of over-
serving his eventual sentence, the Court v	vill endeavor to schedule a sentencing hearing as soon
as practicable.1	
IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDER	ED that the defendant's Motion for Bond Review (Doc.
No. 38) is DENIED	Signed: May 10, 2011
	Solvent & Corros of
	Robert J. Conrad, Jr. Chief United States District Judge

¹ If the defendant chooses to waive his right to the 35-day period between release of the presentence report and the sentencing hearing, the Court could schedule this matter for June 6, 2011.